MISSOURI STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE FISCAL NOTE (22-006)

Subject

Initiative petition from Chris Vas regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to Article V. (Received December 30, 2020)

Date

January 19, 2021

Description

This proposal would amend Article V of the Missouri Constitution.

The amendment is to be voted on in November 2022.

Public comments and other input

The State Auditor's office requested input from the Attorney General's office, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Commerce and Insurance, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Revenue, the Department of Public Safety, the Department of Social Services, the Governor's office, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Transportation, the Office of Administration, the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Missouri Senate, the Secretary of State's office, the Office of the State Public Defender, the State Treasurer's office, Adair County, Boone County, Callaway County, Cass County, Clay County, Cole County, Greene County, Jackson County, Jasper County, St. Charles County, St. Louis County, Taney County, the City of Cape Girardeau, the City of Columbia, the City of Jefferson, the City of Joplin, the City of Kansas City, the City of Kirksville, the City of Mexico, the City of Raymore, the City of St. Joseph, the City of St. Louis, the City of Springfield, the City of Union, the City of Wentzville, the City of West Plains, Cape Girardeau 63 School District, Hannibal 60 School District, Malta Bend R-V School District, Mehlville School District, Wellsville-Middletown R-1 School District, State Technical College of Missouri, Metropolitan Community College, University of Missouri, St. Louis Community College, St. Louis County Board of Elections, Board of Election Commissioners City of St. Louis, Kansas City Board of Election Commissioners, Platte County Board of Elections, Jackson County Election Board, and Clay County **Board of Election Commissioners.**

Assumptions

Officials from the **Attorney General's office** indicated they expect that, to the extent that the enactment of this proposal would result in increased litigation, they expect that their office could absorb the costs associated with that increased litigation using existing resources. However, if the enactment of this proposal were to result in substantial additional litigation, they may be required to request additional appropriations.

Officials from the **Department of Agriculture** indicated no fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** indicated no impact to their department.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** indicated no impact to their department.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education and Workforce Development** indicated no impact to their department.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** indicated this initiative petition has no impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Commerce and Insurance** indicated this petition, if passed, will have no cost or savings to their department.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health** indicated this proposal creates no direct obligations or requirements to their department that would result in a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** indicated they would not anticipate a direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections** indicated no fiscal impact on their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** indicated they anticipate no fiscal impact for this initiative petition.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** indicated no impact.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Office of the Director** indicated no impact for their department.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services** indicated this will have no fiscal impact for their department.

Officials from the **Governor's office** indicated this proposal should not impact their office.

Officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives** indicated no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** indicated no adverse fiscal impact to their department would be expected as a result of this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** indicated this initiative petition would have no fiscal impact to their department/Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** indicated this proposal should not impact their office.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** indicated in reference to this initiative petition, a proposed constitutional amendment to Article V, there may be some impact but there is no way to quantify that currently. Any significant changes will be reflected in future budget requests.

Officials from the **Missouri Senate** indicated they anticipate no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Secretary of State's office** indicated each year, a number of joint resolutions that would refer to a vote of the people a constitutional amendment and bills that would refer to a vote of the people the statutory issue in the legislation may be considered by the General Assembly.

Unless a special election is called for the purpose, Referendums are submitted to the people at the next general election. Article III section 52(b) of the Missouri Constitution authorizes the general assembly to order a special election for measures referred to the people. If a special election is called to submit a Referendum to a vote of the people, Section 115.063.2 RSMo. requires the state to pay the costs. The cost of the special election has been estimated to be \$7 million based on the cost of the 2020 Presidential Preference Primary.

Their office is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle. A new decision item is requested in odd numbered fiscal years and the amount requested is dependent upon the estimated number of ballot measures that will be approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In fiscal year (FY) 2014, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation.

In FY19, over \$5.8 million was spent to publish the full text of the measures for the August and November elections. Their office estimates \$75,000 per page for the costs of publications based on the actual cost incurred for the one referendum that was on the August 2018 ballot.

Their office will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, they reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of their publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** indicated they do not believe this initiative petition will have any cost or savings for their office.

Officials from the **State Treasurer's office** indicated no fiscal impact to their office.

Officials from **Clay County** indicated this petition adds Supreme Court and Appellate Court judges to primary elections, but this will not result in increased costs to the county. Therefore, they estimate no costs or savings as a result of this initiative petition.

Officials from **Greene County** indicated there are no estimated costs or savings to report from their county for this initiative petition.

Officials from the City of Kansas City indicated this amendment will have no fiscal impact on their city.

Officials from **State Technical College of Missouri** indicated there is no fiscal impact to their college.

Officials from the **Metropolitan Community College** indicated no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **St. Louis County Election Board** indicated this petition would have no impact on their board.

Officials from the **Platte County Board of Elections** indicated this petition would have no fiscal impact on their board.

Officials from the **Jackson County Election Board** indicated this initiative petition would have no fiscal impact on their board.

The State Auditor's office did not receive a response from Adair County, Boone County, Callaway County, Cass County, Cole County, Jackson County, Jasper County, St. Charles County, St. Louis County, Taney County, the City of Cape Girardeau, the City of Columbia, the City of Jefferson, the City of Joplin, the City of Kirksville, the City of Mexico, the City of Raymore, the City of St. Joseph, the City of St. Louis, the City of Springfield, the City of Union, the City of Wentzville, the City of West Plains, Cape Girardeau 63 School District, Hannibal 60 School District, Malta Bend R-V School District, Mehlville School District, Wellsville-Middletown R-1 School District, University of Missouri, St. Louis Community College, Board of Election Commissioners City of St. Louis, Kansas City Board of Election Commissioners, and Clay County Board of Election Commissioners.

Fiscal Note Summary

State and local	government entities estimate no costs o	r savinos	from this	nronosal
State and local	government entities estimate no costs o	n savings	mom uns	proposar.